



51

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 1377 of 1998

PETITIONER

Dhanmati Bai, wife of Shri Gopal Prasad Patel, resident of village Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. The Additional Collector, Raigarh, Tahsil and District Raigarh, M.P.
2. The Competent Authority, Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Ghargoda, District Raigarh M.P.
3. S.R. Dewagan, Naib Tahsildar, Tamnar, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
4. Panchayat Aiwam Samaj Sangthak, Tamnar, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
5. Duryodhan Patel Up Sarpanch, Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
6. Krishna Chandra, Panch, Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
7. Jairam, Panch, Chitwohi, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
8. Narendra Kumar Panch, Chitwohi, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
9. Bastram Patel, Panch, Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
10. Makhan Singh, Panch, Chitwohi, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
11. Maniram Painkara, Panch, Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.

(52)

12. Swaroop Kumar Pankara,
Panch, Dolesara, Tahsil
Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
13. Badridas Mahant, Panch
Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda,
District Raigarh, M.P.
14. Smt. Rukhni bai, Mahila Panch,
Chitwohi, Tahsil Ghargoda,
District Raigarh, M.P.
15. Satyabhama Pankara, Mahila
Panch, Dolesara, Tahsil
Ghargoda, District Raigarh, M.P.
16. Puiwasen, Panch, Dolesara,
Tahsil Ghargoda, District
Raigarh, M.P.
17. Bahartin, Mahila Panch,
Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda,
District Raigarh, M.P.
18. Khayai Bai, Mahila Panch,
Dolesara, Tahsil Ghargoda,
District Raigarh, M.P.

(Writ Petition under Article 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri

Present: Shri Devendra Patel, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel lawyer for the State.

ORDER
(Passed on 27th July, 2007)

- 1) Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that with the passage of time this petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State has no objection.
- 2) The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge